

Live and Dead Code

what is dead code?

- Some instructions don't do anything (especially after other optimization has been done) and are **dead code**

1: $A = B * C$
2: $A = C + X$

First computation of A produces a value that won't be used

- Difficulty: not always obvious that an instruction is dead: property is transitive

1: $A = B * C$
2: $B = C * A$
3: $D = A + B$
4: $E = D + A$
5: $E = 7$

Instructions 1 through 4 are all dead, but it's hard to see that

what is dead code?

- Some instructions don't do anything (especially after other optimization has been done) and are **dead code**

1: $A = B * C$

2: $A = C + X$

First computation of A produces a value that won't be used

- Difficulty: not always obvious that an instruction is dead: property is transitive

~~1: $A = B * C$~~

~~2: $B = C * A$~~

~~3: $D = A + B$~~

~~4: $E = D + A$~~

5: $E = 7$

Instructions 1 through 4 are all dead, but it's hard to see that

turn it around: what is live?

- Easier to focus on the dual problem: what code is **live**
 - A variable is **live** if it has a value that *may* be used in the future
 - At any point in code, multiple variables can be live
- Question: how do you know what is going to happen in the future?
 - Answer: go backwards!

executing backwards

- A variable is live if its value may be used in the future
- At the end of a basic block, we can make a good guess about what is live
 - Temporaries are not live (they only get used during the execution of single statements, so are not used in the future)
 - Local variables and global variables *may* be used elsewhere, so they are live
 - If this block is the end of the whole program, nothing is live
- Can then propagate this information backwards

next: liveness analysis