

Function Calling Conventions

Passing arguments to functions

- Terminology:
 - Callee has **parameters** that are passed into it (also called **formal parameters**)
 - Caller passes **arguments** to callee (also called **actual parameters**)
- But this transfer of data between caller and callee can take many forms!

```
void foo() {  
    int a, b;  
    ...  
    bar(a, b);  
}  
void bar(int x, int y)  
{  
    ...  
}
```

Different kinds of parameters

- Value parameters
- Reference parameters
- Result parameters
- Read-only parameters

Value parameters

- “Call-by-value”
- Used in C, Java, default in C++
- Passes the value of an argument to the function
- Makes a copy of argument when function is called
- Advantages? Disadvantages?

Value parameters

```
int x = 1;
void main () {
    foo(x, x);
    print(x);
}

void foo(int y, int z) {
    y = 2;
    z = 3;
    print(x);
}
```

- What do the print statements print?
- Answer:
 - **print(x); //prints 1**
 - **print(x); //prints 1**

Reference parameters

- “Call-by-reference”
- Optional in Pascal (use “var” keyword) and C++ (use “&”)
- Pass the *address* of the argument to the function
- If an argument is an expression, evaluate it, place it in memory and then pass the address of the memory location
- Advantages? Disadvantages?

Reference parameters

```
int x = 1;
void main () {
    foo(x, x);
    print(x);
}

void foo(int &y, int &z)
{
    y = 2;
    z = 3;
    print(x);
    print(y);
}
```

- What do the print statements print?
- Answer:
- **print(x); //prints 3**
- print(x); //prints 3**
- print(y); //prints 3!**

Result parameters

- Return values of a function
 - Some languages let you specify other parameters as result parameters – these are un-initialized at the beginning of the function
 - Copied at the end of function into the arguments of the caller
 - C++ supports “return references”
 - `int& foo(...)`
 - compute return values, store in memory, return address of return value

Result parameters

```
int x = 1;
void main () {
    foo(x, x);
    print(x);
}

void foo(int y, result int z) {
    y = 2;
    z = 3;
    print(x);
}
```

- What do the print statements print?
- Answer:
 - **print(x); //prints 3**
 - **print(x); //prints 1**

Value-result parameters

- “Copy-in copy-out”
- Evaluate argument expression, copy to parameters
- After subroutine is done, copy values of parameters back into arguments
- Results are often similar to pass-by-reference, but there are some subtle situations where they are different

Value-result parameters

```
int x = 1;  
int w = 1;  
void main () {  
    foo(w, x);  
    print(x);  
    print(w);  
}
```

```
void foo(int& y,  
        value result int z) {  
    y = 2;  
    z = 3;  
    print(x);  
    print(w);  
}
```

- What do the print statements print?
- Answer:
 - **print(x)** //prints 3
 - **print(w)** //prints 2
 - **print(x)** //prints 1
 - **print(w)** //prints 2

What about this?

```
int x = 1;  
void main () {  
    foo(x, x);  
    print(x);  
}
```

```
void foo(value result int y,  
        value result int z) {  
    y = 2;  
    z = 3;  
    print(x);  
}
```

- What do the print statements print?
- Answer:
 - **print(x);**
//undefined!
 - **print(x);** //prints 1

Read only parameters

- Used when callee will not change value of parameters
- Read-only restriction must be enforced by compiler
- This can be tricky when in the presence of aliasing and control flow

```
void foo(const int x, int y) {  
    int * p;  
    if (...) p = &x else p = &y  
    *p = 4  
}
```

- Is this legal? Hard to tell!
- gcc will not let the assignment happen

Esoteric: “name” parameters

- “Call-by-name”
 - Usually, we evaluate the arguments before passing them to the function. In call-by-name, the arguments are passed to the function before evaluation
 - Not used in many languages, but Haskell uses a variant

```
int x = 2;  
void main () {  
    foo(x + 2);  
}
```

```
int x = 2;  
void main () {  
    foo(x + 2);  
}
```

```
void foo(int y) {  
    z = y + 2;  
    print(z);  
}
```

```
void foo(int y) {  
    z = x + 2 + 2;  
    print(z);  
}
```



Why is this useful?

```
int x = 2;
void main() {
    foo(bar());
}

void foo(int y) {
    if ( ... ) {
        z = y;
    } else {
        z = 3;
    }
    print(z);
}
```

- Consider the code on the left
- Normally, we must evaluate bar() before calling foo()
- But what if bar() runs for a long time?
- In call by name, we only evaluate bar() if we need to use it

Parameter Passing

		Copy-in?	Copy-out?
	Value parameter	✓	
(Evaluate before call)	Reference parameter		✓
	Result parameter		✓
	Value-result parameter	✓	✓
(Evaluate after call)	Name parameter		

Question:
How to implement `swap(x, y)`?

Other considerations

- Scalars
 - For call by value, can pass the address of the actual parameter and copy the value into local storage within the procedure
 - Reduces size of caller code (why is this good?)
 - For machines with a lot of registers (e.g., MIPS), compilers will save a few registers for arguments and return types
 - Less need to manipulate stack

Other considerations

- Arrays
 - For efficiency reasons, arrays should be passed by reference (why?)
 - Java, C, C++ pass arrays by reference by default (technically, they pass a pointer to the array by value)
 - Pass in a fixed size dope vector as the actual parameter (not the whole array!)
 - Callee can copy array into local storage as needed

Dope vectors

- Remember: store additional information about an array
 - Where it is in memory
 - Size of array
 - # of dimensions
 - Storage order
- Can sometimes eliminate dope vectors with compile-time analysis

Strings

- Requires a descriptor
 - Like a dope vector, provides information about string
 - May just need to pass a pointer (if string contains information about its length)
 - May also need to pass information about length

How to pass values

- Pass arguments in registers (value, if call by value; address of data if call by reference)
 - Advantage: fast, no memory operations to retrieve values
 - Disadvantage: limited space, need to be careful about more complicated data, uses more registers
- Pass arguments on the stack (through memory)
 - Advantage: unlimited space for passing arguments, saves registers for other use
 - Disadvantage: requires more memory, adds instruction overhead
- Architectures with lots of registers (e.g., RISC-V) prefer to pass arguments in registers, but all architectures default to stack if needed
 - In project, we will pass arguments on the stack to simplify code generation; passing in registers is a good optimization!