

Building a Parser

top-down parsers

- A **top-down** parser determines the structure of a parse tree by expanding it from the root node down
 - Expands the tree in *pre-order*
 - For each node in the parse tree, figure out what it expands to
- LL(1): Top-down derivation using 1 symbol of *lookahead*
- Common implementations:
 - Recursive descent: parser is a set of mutually-recursive functions
 - LL(1) parser: table-based parser that operates similarly to recursive-descent

context free grammars as functions

- Every nonterminal corresponds to a function:
 - $X()$: consume a prefix of the input to match X
 - $B()$: consume a prefix of the input to match B
- Think about writing a function to “match” a string to a non-terminal:
Match $X \rightarrow a a B c$ against $a a b b c$
- If there is a terminal in the rule, match up the terminal against the string
 - Match $X \rightarrow a a B c$ against $a a b b c$
 - Match $X \rightarrow a a B c$ against $a a b b c$
- If there is a non-terminal in the rule, *call the function* for that non-terminal with the rest of the string and assume that it does its job:
 - Match $X \rightarrow a a B c$ against $a a b b c$
- When that function returns, keep matching the non-terminal
 - Match $X \rightarrow a a B c$ against $a a b b c$

$X \rightarrow a a B c$
 $B \rightarrow b b$

how to match

- To match a non-terminal against a string, walk over the symbols of the right hand side of the rule
 - If it's a terminal, consume that token off the string
 - If it's a non-terminal, call the function for that non-terminal [which will consume characters off the string matching that non-terminal]
- Matching a rule may not consume all the tokens on a string
 - Just return the rest of the string from the function [think: what if this function was called recursively?]
- What if there are multiple rules for a non-terminal?

disambiguating multiple rules

- Suppose we call the function $X()$ to match the non-terminal X in a string
- 3 choices! How do we know what tokens to match in the string?
- Idea:
 - Look at the **first** token on the string we're trying to match
 - What rule could generate that token?

$X \rightarrow a Y q$

$X \rightarrow b$

$X \rightarrow Y$

$Y \rightarrow c$

$Y \rightarrow d$

disambiguating multiple rules

- Suppose we call the function $X()$ to match the non-terminal X in a string
- 3 choices! How do we know what tokens to match in the string?
- Idea:
 - Look at the **first** token on the string we're trying to match
 - What rule could generate that token?

Any string generated by this rule has to start with an 'a'

$X \rightarrow a Y q$

$X \rightarrow b$

$X \rightarrow Y$

$Y \rightarrow c$

$Y \rightarrow d$

disambiguating multiple rules

- Suppose we call the function $X()$ to match the non-terminal X in a string
- 3 choices! How do we know what tokens to match in the string?
- Idea:
 - Look at the **first** token on the string we're trying to match
 - What rule could generate that token?

Any string generated by this rule has to start with a 'b'

$X \rightarrow a Y q$

$X \rightarrow b$

$X \rightarrow Y$

$Y \rightarrow c$

$Y \rightarrow d$

disambiguating multiple rules

- Suppose we call the function $X()$ to match the non-terminal X in a string
- 3 choices! How do we know what tokens to match in the string?
- Idea:
 - Look at the **first** token on the string we're trying to match
 - What rule could generate that token?

What about this rule?

$X \rightarrow a Y q$

$X \rightarrow b$

$X \rightarrow Y$

$Y \rightarrow c$

$Y \rightarrow d$

disambiguating multiple rules

- Suppose we call the function $X()$ to match the non-terminal X in a string
- 3 choices! How do we know what tokens to match in the string?
- Idea:
 - Look at the **first** token on the string we're trying to match
 - What rule could generate that token?

What about now?

$X \rightarrow a Y q$

$X \rightarrow b$

$X \rightarrow \lambda$

$Y \rightarrow c$

$Y \rightarrow d$

first and follow sets

- Figuring out which token to look for to match a given rule is complicated
- But we can simplify this by computing **first** and **follow** sets
 - **First(α)** = what terminals (or λ) might *start* any string you derive from α
 - If I start with α and apply rules, what terminals might the string start with?
 - **Follow(X)** = what terminals might *come after* the non-terminal X
 - If I start with the *start symbol* and apply rules, what terminals can I make come after X ?

first and follow sets

Special symbol we put at the end of the start rule



- First sets defined for strings:
 - $\text{First}(abX) = \{a\}$
 - $\text{First}(Y) = \{\lambda, d\}$
 - $\text{First}(S) = \{a, b, d, \$\}$
- Follow sets defined for non-terminals:
 - $\text{Follow}(X) = \{d, \$\}$
 - $\text{Follow}(Y) = \{q, d, \$\}$

$S \rightarrow XY\$$

$X \rightarrow aYq$

$X \rightarrow b$

$X \rightarrow Y$

$Y \rightarrow \lambda$

$Y \rightarrow d$

**next: computing first and follow
sets**