# Strength Reduction

## Strength reduction

- Like strength reduction peephole optimization
  - Peephole: replace expensive instruction like a \* 2 with a << |
- Replace expensive instruction, multiply, with a cheap one, addition
  - Applies to uses of an *induction variable*
  - **Opportunity:** array indexing

i = 0;L2:if (i >= 100) goto L1 j = 4 \* i + &A \*i = 0;

i = i + 1;

goto L2

L1:

A[i] = 0;

for (i = 0; i < 100; i++)

## Strength reduction

- Like strength reduction peephole optimization
  - Peephole: replace expensive instruction like a \* 2with a << |
- Replace expensive instruction, multiply, with a cheap one, addition
  - Applies to uses of an *induction variable*
  - **Opportunity:** array indexing

### Induction variables

- A basic induction variable is a variable i
  - whose only definition within the loop is an assignment of the form  $i = i \pm c$ , where c is loop invariant Intuition: the variable which determines number of iterations is usually an induction variable
- A mutual induction variable j may be
  - defined once within the loop, and its value is a linear function of some other induction variable i such that
  - $j = cl * i \pm c2 \text{ or } j = i/cl \pm c2$
  - where cl, c2 are loop invariant
- A *family* of induction variables include a basic induction variable and any related mutual induction variables

# Strength reduction algorithm

- - Create a new variable j'
  - Initialize in preheader

j' = cl \* i + c2

- Track value of i. After i = i + c3, perform j' = j' + (c | \* c3)
- Replace definition of j with

j = j'

Let j be an induction variable in the family of the basic induction variable i, such that j = cl \* i + c2

Key: c1, c2, c3 are all loop invariant (or constant), so computations like (c1 \* c3) can be moved outside loop

### Linear test replacement

- After strength reduction, the loop test may be the only use of the basic induction variable
- Can now eliminate induction variable altogether
- Algorithm
  - If only use of an induction variable is the loop test and its increment, and if the test is always computed
  - Can replace the test with an equivalent one using one of the mutual induction variables

i = 2for (; i < k; i++) j = 50\*i  $\ldots = j$ Strength reduction i = 2; j' = 50 \* i for (; i < k; i++, j' += 50) ... = j' Linear test replacement i = 2; j' = 50 \* i for (; j' < 50\*k; j' += 50) .... = j'

- Modifying induction variable in each iteration can be expensive
- Can instead *unroll* loops and perform multiple iterations for each increment of the induction variable
- What are the advantages and disadvantages?
  - fewer instructions executed, more opportunities for CSE, strength reduction, ILP etc.
  - code size increase, more i-cache pressure, can confuse allocator

# Loop unrolling

for (i = 0; i < N; i++) $A[i] = \dots$ Unroll by factor of 4 for (i = 0; i < N; i += 4)A[i] = ... A[i+1] = ...A[i+2] = ... A[i+3] = ...

next: high-level loop optimization